

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,724	10/22/2003	Jorge R. Sainz	7681.3808	2723
22235	7590 06/24/2004	EXAMINER		
MALIN HALEY AND DIMAGGIO, PA			BASINGER, SHERMAN D	
1936 S ANDREWS AVENUE FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33316			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/605,724	SAINZ, JORGE R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sherman D. Basinger	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.					
7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Metics of Deferences Cited (DTO 800)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) [] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/04.	——————————————————————————————————————	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,724

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology such as means has been used in the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "the cover side" and "the base side" lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rutter.

Rutter discloses a method of lifting

a vessel 228 comprising the steps of:

placing a vessel lift (see figure 1) into a body of water, where the vessel lift includes at least two support members 32 and 34, at least

Application/Control Number: 10/605,724

Art Unit: 3617

two pontoon chambers 22, 24, 26, or 28 and at least guides 116, 118, 120 and 122 adjacent to

the at least two pontoon chambers;

submerging the vessel lift into the water by allowing the influx of water into at least two pontoon chambers (see figure 5), positioning a vessel 228 between at least two guides, where said at least two guides extend vertically from the vessel lift; infusioning air into the at least two pontoon

and

chambers (see figure 4), and

controlling the elevation of the vessel lift

by controlling

the air flow into

the pontoon chambers.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eva III et al. The lift is shown in figure 1. With regard to the elements of the lift being plastic note column 4, lines 9-14 and lines 20-22.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,724

Art Unit: 3617

8. Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 1 and the claims depending therefrom are indicated as containing allowable subject matter because none of the art of record including Rutter taken alone or incombination fairly teach or suggest the vessel lift as defined in claim 1 with the cover side having an arcuate top longitudinal surface, with the base side being substantially flat and each chamber having an upper arcuate configuration longitudinally.

Claim 8 is indicated as containing allowable subject matter because Rutter taken alone or incombination with any of the other prior art does not teach or fairly suggest inserting stabilization members into the pontoon chambers at two different planes or levels.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Koepp, Jr. is cited to show the use of plastic for the pipes making up the lift.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherman D. Basinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:00-2:30 ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sherman D. Basinger

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

sdb 6/17/04